

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/474,569 First Named Applicant: Roland Lamer et al.  
 Examiner: MyLinh Tran Art Unit: 2179 Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

### Tentative Participants:

(1) MyLinh Tran (2) Christopher M. Scherer  
 (3) (4)

Proposed Date of Interview: October 22, 2007

Proposed Time: 11:00 EST (AM/PM)

### Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO  
 If yes, provide brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

## Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues<br>(Rej., Obj., etc)                                     | Claims/<br>Fig. #s | Prior<br>Art | Discussed                | Agreed                   | Not Agreed               |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) Rej. §112   | 1                  |              | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) Rej. §103   | 1                  | Wong         | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3)   |                    |              | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4)   |                    |              | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached |                    |              |                          |                          |                          |

### Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

The Applicants thank the Examiner for her time and attention to this matter and her agreement to conduct this telephone interview.

The Applicant's representative wishes to discuss the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, specifically the rejection to claim 1 and to show the Examiner the specification sections that adequately support that claim. The Applicants further wish to clarify

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.

**NOTE:** This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Christopher M. Scherer  
 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Examiner/SPE Signature

Christopher M. Scherer

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

50,655

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED  
 CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
 OCT 18 2007

OCT 18 2007

**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (Continuation Sheet)**

Application No.: 09/474,689 First Named Applicant: Roland Lamer et al.  
 Examiner: MyLinh Tran Art Unit: 2179 Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

**Issues to be Discussed (Continued)**

| Issues     | Claims/<br>Fig. #'s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|------------|
| (5) _____  | _____               | _____     | [ ]       | [ ]    | [ ]        |
| (6) _____  | _____               | _____     | [ ]       | [ ]    | [ ]        |
| (7) _____  | _____               | _____     | [ ]       | [ ]    | [ ]        |
| (8) _____  | _____               | _____     | [ ]       | [ ]    | [ ]        |
| (9) _____  | _____               | _____     | [ ]       | [ ]    | [ ]        |
| (10) _____ | _____               | _____     | [ ]       | [ ]    | [ ]        |

**Continuation of Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

the Examiner the difference between patient image data, patient context data, and patient textual data. The Applicant further discuss the Examiner's rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) to claim 1 in view of the Wong reference. The Applicants may suggest some possible claim amendments to the Examiner during the interview.

Sheet 2 of 2